

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,236	11/13/2003	Ravi Prasher	884.C47US1	1180
7590 01/04/2005		EXAMINER		
Intel Corporation PO Box 5326			CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO	
Santa Clara, CA 95056-5326			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2835	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·			
	L A D Al Al		HA		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
000 - A-0 0	10/713,236	PRASHER, RAVI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Boris L. Chervinsky	2835			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	·.:		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a name of the statutory minimum of thirthing will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	3 November 2003.				
· _ ·	his action is non-final.		•		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	•		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•	•		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion		:		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.			· **:		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2003</u> is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.	• :		
Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	J Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ian priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		(2) (2) (7)	<i>i</i> - }		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		٠.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	pplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pe	·	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	, , , ,		;		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.	•		
	•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	; . •		
·, · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·, 🗀c. गटम ठ				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. __

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/713,236

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6, 7-16, 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kenny et al.

Kenny discloses an apparatus comprising: a substrate 302, and a plurality of micro-pins 303, Page 4, [0031], thermally coupled to the substrate (see Fig. 10A through Fig. 10E), the plurality of micro-pins arranged in a pixel-like pattern over the substrate; the plurality of micro-pins formed from the substrate; the substrate comprises an integrated circuit

(IC) die 99 or integrated with microprocessor, Page 12, [0102]; the plurality of micropins coupled to an interface layer 301, the interface layer thermally coupled to the substrate 302; the interface layer comprises a solderable layer, Page 16, [0130]; the layer formed from at least one of copper (Cu), gold (Au), nickel (Ni), aluminum (Al), titanium (Ti), tantalum (Ta), silver (Ag), and Platinum (Pt); the plurality of micro-pins substantially enclosed in a device, the device having a cover disposed over the plurality of micro-pins and comprises an inlet and an outlet; further comprising a pump 32, the

pump having an outlet, the outlet material transferably coupled to the inlet of the device; each of the plurality of micro-pins comprises a micro-pin having a primitive geometric shape or a complex geometric shape; the plurality of micro-pins arranged to facilitate flow of material across the plurality of micro-pins in at least two directions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 22-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kenny et al.

Kenny discloses the claimed invention as shown above, except memory device coupled to the wiring board. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the disclosed structure for the system that includes the memory device such as flash memory, since the memory device attached to the wiring board is commonly used arrangement in the industry and also it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Kenny discloses the claimed invention except diamond film layer interface (claim 5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Art Unit: 2835

was made to have diamond film for thermal interface as the material having good thermal conductivity and being widely used in the industry, and it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 571-272-2039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BORIS CHÉRVINSKY PRIMARY EXAMINES Paris de Clussines 12/27/4